

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

This reply is responsive to an office action mailed on November 1, 2007. Reconsideration and allowance of the application and presently pending claims 1-48 are respectfully requested.

Present Status of the Patent Application

Claims 1-48 remain pending in the present application. Claims 1-48 have been rejected. Claim 1, 17, and 33 has been amended. The amendments to the claims were made to render them more clear and definite and to emphasize the patentable novelty thereof. There is no intent to surrender equivalence.

Response to Disapproval of Terminal Disclaimer

Applicant provided a Reply and Amendment Transmittal (Miscellaneous Incoming Letter) with the Reply and Amendment filed online on August 20, 2007. The second page of this transmittal included an authorization for the Commissioner to charge our deposit account for any underpayment of fees. Therefore, the disapproval of the Terminal Disclaimer should be withdrawn, and the Terminal Disclaimer should now be approved.

Response to Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §103

Claims 1-9, 11, 12, 15-25, 27, 28, 31-41, 43, 44, 47, and 48 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Chuang (US Pat. No. 5,987,421) in view of Power (US Pat. No. 6,753,782). Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

Chuang discloses the use of a group of 2-way pagers (GID devices) carried by guests as they move through a theme park. The pagers allow guests to register for positions within a line for rides, attractions, and restaurants. The pagers also allow the

guests to search for other members of their party having a pager located within the theme park. The pagers send request information to Identification Signal Searching Units (ISSU) of a Central Control System (CCS) installed throughout the park for determining the location of particular persons. The CCS determines the location and direction of a desired person relative to the requesting person. Once located, a message is sent to the requesting two-way pager and describes the distance and the direction of the desired person relative to the requesting person.

Thus, the location of the desired person must first be determined by means of the CCS. That is accomplished by a search technique as stated in Column 11, lines 52-59 of Chuang:

"Should a guest wish to locate another guest from his group, he simply selects the proper designation on his GID device. A wireless signal is transmitted from the GID device and is received by at least the nearest ISSU. The ISSU transmits the search signal in an attempt to locate the targeted GID device. If the GID device is found, its location and direction is relayed back to the ISSU which then forwards the information to the searching GID Device. In the event that the GID Device is not found, the search signal is relayed to the CCS via the ISSU. The CCS forwards the search signal to all ISSUs thus effectively blanketing the park. Once the targeted GID Device is located, its location and direction is relayed back to the ISSU which sent the original search signal via the CCS."

Powers discloses a system for monitoring patients with Alzheimer's disease or other related dementia. The patients are monitored by a handheld unit that is carried by the caregiver.

In sharp contrast to Chuang, the techniques of the disclosed embodiment of the invention relate to using stations and antennas distributed throughout the confined area to track and view the location of guests, and to record and update attraction reservation information without the need for the guest carrying expensive 2-way pagers throughout the confined area. Also, a map of the location of the guest can be conveniently displayed on any of these stations. Chuang on the other hand teaches using 2-way pagers which do not track guests and update attraction reservation information.

Independent Claim 1

Independent claim 1, as amended, is allowable for at least the reason that Chuang does not disclose, teach, or suggest any of the following:

- 1) "receiving personal identification information of a guest into at least one of a set of stationary stations distributed throughout the confined area from a device worn by the guest"
- 2) "updating the stored reservation information at any one of the stations distributed throughout the confined area", and
- 3) "locating and viewing the location of the guest on a map displayed on one of the stations in response to the stored tracking information"

1) "receiving personal identification information of a guest into at least one of a set of stationary stations distributed throughout the confined area from a device worn by the guest"

Chuang does not disclose any stations distributed throughout the park that receive information from a device worn by the guest. Both Chuang and Power disclose the use of handheld devices for receiving information, not "a set of stationary stations."

2) "updating the stored reservation information at any one of the stations distributed throughout the confined area"

Chuang does not disclose any way to update the reservation information once it is set, nor does it disclose distributed stations. Power does not disclose anything that would cure this deficiency.

3) "locating and viewing the location of the guest on a map displayed on one of the stations in response to the stored tracking information"

In this regard, the Office Action acknowledges that Chuang does not disclose this limitation. Furthermore, Power does not disclose "locating and viewing the location of the guest on a map" or "a map displayed on one of the stations." Power merely discloses providing information via the text screen of the handheld unit. (See col. 7, line 20 through col. 8, line 16)

Accordingly, the rejection is deficient in these areas. Notwithstanding, the undersigned has reviewed the entirety of the Chuang and Power patents and has failed to identify any such teachings anywhere within these references. Accordingly, the Chuang and Power patents fail to teach or disclose the invention as defined by claim 1, and the rejection of claim 1 should be withdrawn.

Independent Claim 17

Independent claim 17, as amended, is allowable for at least the reasons as described above regarding claim 1.

Independent Claim 33

Independent claim 33, as amended, is allowable for at least the reasons as described above regarding claim 1.

Claims 10, 26, and 42 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Chuang in view of Waytena et al. (US Pat. No. 5,978,770). Since Waytena does not cure the deficiencies of Chuang described above and these claims depend from allowable independent claims 1, 17, or 33; claims 10, 26, and 42 should also be allowable for at least the reasons described above regarding claim 1.

Claims 13, 14, 29, 30, 45, and 46 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Chuang in view of McManus et al. (US Pat. Appl. No. 2003/0102956). Since Waytena does not cure the deficiencies of Chuang described above and these claims depend from allowable independent claims 1, 17, or 33; claims 13, 14, 29, 30, 45, and 46 should also be allowable for at least the reasons described above regarding claim 1.

Dependent Claims

Dependent claims 2-16, 18-32, and 34-48 are believed to be allowable for at least the reason that these claims depend from allowable independent claims 1, 17, and 33, respectively. *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 U.S.P.Q.2d 1596, 1600 (Fed. Cir. 1988).

CONCLUSION

The other cited art of record has been reviewed, and it is believed that the claims, as amended, patentably distinguish thereover.

In light of the foregoing amendments and for at least the reasons set forth above, Applicant respectfully submits that all objections and rejections have been traversed, rendered moot, and/or accommodated, and that now pending claims 1-48 are in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and allowance of the present application and all pending claims are hereby courteously requested. If, in the opinion of the Examiner, a telephonic conference would expedite the examination of this matter, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned at 619-209-3063.

Please direct all correspondence to the undersigned attorney or agent at the address indicated below.

Respectfully submitted,

By: 

Bernard L. Kleinke
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 22,123

Date: March 3, 2008

DUCKOR SPRADLING METZGER & WYNNE
A Law Corporation
3043 4th Avenue
San Diego, California 92103

Telephone No.: 619.209.3000
Facsimile No.: 619.209.3043
Email Address: kleinke@dsmwlaw.com